LOW COMPLEXITY BLIND CONSTRAINED DATA-REUSING ALGORITHMS BASED ON MINIMUM VARIANCE AND CONSTANT MODULUS CRITERIA

Tiago T. V. Vinhoza¹ Rodrigo C. de Lamare² Raimundo Sampaio-Neto¹ ¹CETUC - PUC-Rio - Rio de Janeiro, Brazil ²Communications Group, University of York - United Kingdom email: {vinhoza,raimundo}@cetuc.puc-rio.br , rcdl500@ohm.york.ac.edu









Abstract

This work presents low complexity blind constrained

Definitions:

• $\mathbf{e}(i)$ is the $P \times 1$ error vector; $\mathbf{U}(i) = [\mathbf{u}(i) \dots \mathbf{u}(i-P+1)]$ is a $M \times P$ matrix containing P observation vectors. • Cost function: Sum of squared errors $\mathbf{e}^{H}(i)\mathbf{e}(i)$. Constraints: $\mathbf{C}^{H}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{g}$; \mathbf{C} is an $M \times L$ matrix, $M \ge L$ ("tall"). **Constrained Minimum Variance Affine Projection Algorithm** For the MV criterion, the $P \times 1$ error vector $\mathbf{e}(i)$ is $\mathbf{e}(i) = \mathbf{U}^{H}(i)\mathbf{w}(i)$ **Lagrangian**: $\mathcal{L}_{MV} = \mathbf{w}^{H}(i)\mathbf{U}(i)\mathbf{U}^{H}(i)\mathbf{w}(i) + \Re\left[(\mathbf{C}^{H}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{g})^{H}\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right]$ when Constrained Constant Modulus Affine Projection Algorithm

data-reusing adaptive filtering algorithms based on the minimum variance and constant modulus cost functions. Constrained minimum variance (CMV) and constrained constant modulus (CCM) affine projection type algorithms are developed and investigated in a CDMA interference suppression scenario. Computer simulations are used to analyze the proposed techniques and compare them with existing stochastic gradient (SG) and recursive least-squares (RLS) type techniques. The results show that the new algorithms outperform previously reported SG techniques with small additional computational requirements and achieve a performance very close to RLS algorithms at greatly reduced complexity.

> Blind Adaptive Filtering and Algorithms

<u>Goal</u>: Obtain an *M*-dimensional parameter vector \mathbf{w} that minimizes the cost function defined as the design criterion in order to retrieve a desired signal obtained from the $M \times 1$ observation vector \mathbf{u} .

Update Recursion: $\mathbf{w}(i+1) = \mathbf{\Pi} \left[\mathbf{w}(i) - \mathbf{U}(i)\boldsymbol{\mu}\mathbf{e}(i) \right] + \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{C}^{H}\mathbf{C})^{-1}\mathbf{g}(i)$

For the CM criterion, the *j*th component of the
$$P \times 1$$

error vector $\mathbf{e}(i)$ is $e_j(i) = |\mathbf{w}^H(i)\mathbf{u}(i-j)|^2 - 1$.
Lagrangian:
 $\mathcal{L}_{CM} = \sum_{j=0}^{P-1} [|\mathbf{w}^H(i)\mathbf{u}(i-j)|^2 - 1]^2 + \Re \left[(\mathbf{C}^H\mathbf{w} - \nu \mathbf{g})^H \boldsymbol{\lambda} \right]$
Update Recursion:
 $\mathbf{w}(i+1) = \Pi \left[\mathbf{w}(i) - \mathbf{U}(i)\mathbf{Z}(i)\boldsymbol{\mu}\mathbf{e}(i) \right] + \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{C}^H\mathbf{C})^{-1}\nu\mathbf{g}(i)$
where $\mathbf{Z}(i) = \operatorname{diag} \left[z_0^*(i), \dots, z_{P-1}^*(i) \right]$ and $z_j(i) = \mathbf{w}^H(i)\mathbf{u}(i-j)$.
Normalized Step-Size:
 $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \mathbf{M} \left[\mathbf{U}^H(i)\Pi\mathbf{U}(i) \right]^{-1}$
where $\mathbf{M} = \operatorname{diag} \left(\frac{1}{|z_0(i)|(|z_0(i)|-1)}, \dots, \frac{1}{|z_{P-1}(i)|(|z_{P-1}(i)|-1)} \right)$.
DS-CDMA Interference
Suppression



Stochastic Gradient (SG);
Recursive Least Squares (RLS);
Affine Projection Algorithm (AP).

where $\mathbf{\Pi} = \left[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{C}^H \mathbf{C})^{-1} \mathbf{C}^H \right].$

Normalized Step-Size:

In order to devise a normalized version of the algorithm, we introduce a convenient $P \times P$ matrix stepsize μ .

 $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mu_0 \Big[\mathbf{U}^H(i) \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{U}(i) \Big]^{-1}$

 $\mathbf{u}(i) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_k b_k(i) \mathbf{C}_k \mathbf{h}_k(i) + \boldsymbol{\eta}(i) + \mathbf{n}(i)$

• Uplink connection of a BPSK DS-CDMA system.

• K users, processing gain N, multipath channel: L_p paths. • $\mathbf{u}(i)$ is a $(N + L_p - 1) \times 1$ vector.

• $\mathbf{h}_k(i) = [h_{k,0}(i) \dots h_{k,L_p-1}(i)]^T$ is the channel vector and \mathbf{C}_k contains one-chip shifted versions of the signature sequence for user k, $\boldsymbol{\eta}(i)$ is the ISI and $\mathbf{n}(i)$ is AWGN.

Receiver	Constraints
CMV	$\mathbf{C}_k^H \mathbf{w}_k(i+1) = \mathbf{h}_k(i)$
CCM	$\mathbf{C}_k^H \mathbf{w}_k(i+1) = \nu \mathbf{h}_k(i)$

Simulations and Results

• BPSK synchronous DS-CDMA system that employs Gold sequences of length N = 31.

• Normalized step-size CMV-SG and CCM-SG RLS-like versions: CMV-RLS and CCM-RLS **Proposed**: CMV-AP and CCM-AP, P = 2, 3. **Experiment I**: BER (bit error rate) performance under fading $(f_d T = 10^{-4})$ in non-stationary scenario. The system starts with K = 8 users whose power distribution follows a log-normal random variable with standard deviation (sd) equal to 1.5 dB. At 1000 symbols, 4 users enter the cell and the power control is loosened, resulting in a power distribution with sd equal to 3 dB for all users.

Experiment II: SINR (signal-to-interference-plus noise ratio) performance in a 12-user, moderate nearfar scenario and under faster ($f_{\rm d}T = 10^{-3}$) fading. We assume that the user of interest is User 1. One interferer has a power level 10 dB above and another has 7 dB above the desired user. The remaining 9 interferers have the same power as the desired user, which corresponds to $E_{\rm b}/N_0 = 15$ dB.





